Sectt. II-27—23,006—24-12-32.

Development (1933) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. No. 597

DATED 19-5-1933

[Despatch Abstract.]

Co-operative Societies

Appeal - Govinda Rao -
Ex-Jumor Inspector - Rejected

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
3-MAR 1933
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
1-FEB 1933
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Dev. 411-III
1-2-33

To

The Secretary to Government

Development Department,

Madras. 31-1-33.

Dev. 862-III
3-3-33

Respected and Honoured Sir,

Your petitioner begs to submit as following:-

1. That your petitioner was a junior Inspector of co-operative societies in Bellary Circle from 1-3-1930 up to 20th July, 1932. His services were dispensed with for not sending audit reports in time and for some mistakes in the Test Audit committed by him out of ignorance. Your petitioner be-longs to the Maharata family which is not at all represented in this District.

2. The petitioner was already punished by the Deputy Registrar of Bellary Circle for delaying certain audit reports for about a month. The removal besides amounting to a second punishment for the same fault, the petitioner submits, is too hard a punishment for a delay of about a month.

3. The petitioner was not able to complete the tests, prescribed by the Department on account of continued ill-health. He had applied for the examinations but could not come out successful on account of sickness.

4. That your petitioner was not given extention of time to pass the prescribed tests, though others have been given 4 or 5 chances to pass the same.

5. That your petitioner craves for your honour's mercy and prays.

(a). That the order of removal passed may be set aside and that he may be restored to office, treating the period of interval as leave without allowances, and

(b). that he may be given extention of time to pass the tests.

P.T.O.

6. For which act of kindness your petitioner prays for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,

Respected and Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Govinda Rao
30.1.'33

Address.

M. Govinda Rao, C/o
M. Venkoba Rao, Head Constable
No.653, Brucepet Town Police
Station, Bellary.

Bellary.

Date. 30th Jan., 1933.

C.F. 33.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Madras.
MEMORANDUM

Post Box No. _____ *Telegraphic Address* _____

Name D.N. Strathie Esq., I.C.S.

No. G2147/32, *dated* 20th July 1932

Short title Govinda Rao. M - Ac. Junior Inspector - Bellary - Charges

READ—the following against.



Letter No.B 935/32 dated 20-7-32 from the Dy.Registrar, Bellary.

O R D E R

The Deputy Registrar, Bellary, framed certain charges against M. Govinda Rao, acting Junior Inspector, Bellary, for the defects noted in his audit of Hanishi co-operative society for the year 1930-31 and obtained his explanation. The charges are:-

i. That the Inspector failed to answer questions 15 and 16(1) in the audit report of the Hanishi co-operative society regarding the soundness of the loans outstanding against members.

ii. That he did not make mention in the audit report of the temporary misappropriation of a sum of Rs.62/- by the President of the society for about a month.

iii. That he failed to note in the audit report that there was delay in the remittance of the society's funds to the Central Bank in several cases.

iv(a) That he did not answer questions 15 and 16 and the question at page 16 in the audit report regarding the classification of overdue loans.

(b) That he did not point out that the society was not maintaining a closed loan ledger.

(c) That he failed to note the overdue interest, and

(d) That he did not work out the arrears of share capital.

2. To the first charge the Inspector explains that e could not enquire into the soundness of the loans owing to the prevalence of cholera in the village and that he could not go to the village subsequently as he fell ill and proceeded on leave. His explanation for the second [illegible] President of the Local Co-operative Union who refused to receive the amount and that the President of the society credited the amount in the cash book on return from a marriage. As regards the third charge the Inspector says in his statement before the Sub-Deputy Registrar that he did not consider the delays are not of a serious nature to deserve special mention in the audit report. The fourth charge is not serious.

[illegible] Deputy Registrar, Bellary, [illegible] for the week in March 1931 for making a false statement and for one [illegible] April 1931 for delay in the submission of [illegible] reports.

4. The Inspector was appointed on 1st March 1930 and he has not passed any of the four tests prescribed for Junior Inspectors, viz., Book-keeping and Banking (Lower), Co-operation and Auditing (II class).

Yours obedient[illegible]

(by order)

[illegible]

To the individual through the Deputy Registrar, Bellary.
Copy to the Deputy Registrar, Bellary.
210/32
Forwarded to M. Govinda Rao Amalas Kurugo

Deputy Registrar

C F 34

2700-A-32

PROCEEDINGS OF THE Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Madras

*Post Box No.*_____ *Telegraphic Address*_____

Name D.N.Strathie Esq., I.C.S.,

D.Dis.G. *No* 5109-32, *dated* 9th September 1932.

*Short title*_____

READ—the following :—

M.G. 2147-32

Registrars proceedings dated 20-7-32
(2) Petition dated 29-8-32 from M.Govinda Rao Ex- Junior Inspector and the endorsement No. A.I.2101-32 dated 1-9-32 of the Deputy Registrar, Bellary.

O r d e r.

The Registrar regrets his inability to reconsider the orders already passed.

By order.

V. Venkataraya
Manager. 10.9.32

D.M.10/9/-

To

M.Govinda Rao, ex- Junior Inspector through the Deputy Registrar, Bellary.

Copy to the Deputy Registrar, Bellary.

Forwarded to Mr Govinda Rao ex junior Inspector Bellary.

A. Venugopal Rao 14/9

for Deputy Registrar

14.9.32

G. 864.33



To

The Secretary,

Development Department,

Local Government, M a d r a s.

Thro'

The Registrar of Co-operative Societies,

M A D R A S.

Honoured and Respected Sir,

In obedience to the Government endorsement No.411 - 111/33-1 dated 3-2-33, I beg to submit the petition through the proper channel.

2 I had submitted a revision petition to the Registrar of Co-operative Societies for the reconsideration of the orders passed by him. Orders refusing to reconsider the order of removal were passed on 9th September, 1932 (D Dis. 5109-32 dated 9-9-32) and communicated to me on the 16th September, 1932.

I submit that my appeal is within time with reference to this order.

I beg to remain,
Honoured and Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M. Govinda Rao
8.2.1933

Address.

M. Govinda Rao, C/o
M. Venkoba Rao,
H.C. No.653, Brucepetah Town,
Bellary.

Dated. 8th Feb., 1933.

Des 863-III

3-3-33

U.F. 30.

DEPARTMENT.

CO-OPERATIVE

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Received 3-MAR 1933 192 *Registered* 192

Subject.

Govinda Rao. M. Ex-junior inspector of co-operative societies--appeal.

ENCLOSURES.

No

Spare copies

No. G 864/33

Station Madras, *Dated the* 1st March 1933.

From (Name) D.N.Strathie Esquire I.C.S.,

(Designation) Registrar of co-operative societies,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Development Department.

Sir,

I forward herewith the appeal petition of M.Govinda Rao, ex-junior inspector of co-operative societies together with his explanation for delay in preferring the appeal within the prescribed period.

2. The services of the appellant were dispensed with in my proceedings No. G 2147/32 dated 20-7-32, and the orders were despatched to him on 21-7-32. His petition for reconsideration of the orders already passed was received by me on 5-9-32 and my orders dated 9-9-32 refusing to reconsider the orders were received by him on 16-9-32. The appellant had therefore ample time to prefer the appeal within the period of six months from the date on which he was informed of the

the original order appealed against. His explanation for the delay in preferring the appeal cannot be considered satisfactory. The appeal is liable to be rejected on this ground.

3. The appellant was appointed as junior inspector of co-operative societies on 1-3-1930 and continued as such till 20-7-32. He audited the accounts of the Hanishi co-operative society, Bellary district for 1930-31 and several serious defects were noticed during the test-audit. Charges were therefore framed against him and his written explanation was obtained. He was also given a personal hearing. The explanation offered was not satisfactory. His past record was also bad. I therefore dispensed with his services in my proceedings No. G 2147/32 dated 20-7-32.

4. In para 2 of the petition the appellant states that he was removed from service for the same fault for which he was already punished by the Deputy Registrar. This is not correct. In April 1932 the Deputy Registrar Bellary suspended the appellant for one month for delay in the submission of his interim audit reports and this was not one of the charges for which he was removed from service.

5. In para 4 of the appeal he says that he was not given extension of time to pass the prescribed tests. He was in service from 1-3-30 to 20-7-32 and had ample opportunities to pass all the four tests prescribed for Inspectors. But he did not pass any of the tests. Moreover his work was very unsatisfactory. In March 1931 he was suspended by the Deputy Registrar for one week for making a false statement. Again in April 1932 he was suspended for one month for delay in the submission of audit reports referred to in para 4. supra.

9 14 13

6. The appellant proved himself to be unfit to be entrusted with the responsible duties of an auditor. The appeal petition P1 - 1 does not deserve any consideration and I recommend that it may be rejected. The connected records are enclosed.

Bradbavano
For Registrar 2/3/33.

Draft 2, '3

sr. 2-3

10

15- Dev Dept.

Lr. No. 862 III/93-1 dt 14.3.93

13/14/3

To,

The Secretary

Maha. Service Commission

—

Sir

Sub:- Appeal petition -

Govinda Rao. M - Ex-junior

inspector of Cs

I am directed to forward the appeal petition preferred by M. Govinda Rao, Ex. junior inspector of Co. operative Societies, against the order of the Registrar dispensing with his services and to request that the Commission may be good enough to advise Govt. in regard to the order to be passed thereon (2) Govt. will be observed by the Commission that the appeal petition has not been presented within the

pp. 1-2 of

pp 15-17 of (W)

pp 1-2 of

prescribed period of six months from the date on which the appellant was informed of the order appealed against, but having regard to the fact that the period of delay involved is only about a week, the Govt. have condoned the delay and admitted the appeal.

3) The records connected with the case are enclosed.

I have etc

RK
10353

for Secy
9p333 10.3.83

Sec
11/3



10/3

12

Dev Dept

Lr No. 862 VI/33-2 dt. 5-33

To,

The Secretary,
Madras Services Commn.

—

Sir,

Sub:- Appeal petition -
Govinda Rao - M - Ex-junior
Inspr. of Cs.

I am directed
to invite your attention
to this dept's letter No. 862 VI/33-1
dated 14.3.33 on the above
subject and to enquire
when the opinion of the
Commission may be expected.

I have etc

RK
29.4.

Confidential

Dev. 1672 III
4533

O.F. 80—1,250—5-2-32.

13 / 19

DEPARTMENT.

Received 2 [illegible] 1933 193

Registered 193

Subject.

Appeal - Govinda Rao, M. - Ex-Junior Inspector of Co-operative Societies -

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Letter No. 280-A/33.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 29th April 1933.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. Rao Sahib C.P. Karunakara Menon Avl.,
(Designation) Secretary, Madras Services Commission,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Development Department.

Sir,

pp 10-1 avle

Your letter No. 862-III/33-1, dated 14-3-1933.

The charges which were all proved show that the appellant's work as Inspector was very unsatisfactory. In view of this and of his previous record, the order removing him from the service was justified. The Commission accordingly advises that the appeal be dismissed.

14

2. The records of the case are returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary.

T.29.4.

21 VS Rev Dept

Appeal - Govinda Rao - on Rly. M. -
Ex. Junior Inspector of Co-operative Societies
CMO. 862 VII

To Asst. Secy.

Please see the appeal petition at pp 1-2 ct. and the Registrar's remarks thereon at pp 7-9 ct. The Registrar's order dispensing with the
p 17 of (h) appellant's service was passed on 20-7-32
(1) and despatched on 21-7-32. It was forwarded by the Dy. Registrar to the
4 appellant on 23-7-32. There is no information on what date the order actually reached the appellant but it should have been received by him either on 24-7-32 or the next day at the latest The period of six months prescribed for presenting appeals expired therefore on 24-1-33 The appeal petition in the ct is however
p 2 ct dated 30-1-33 and actually reached
p 1 ct Rout on 31-1-33. The appellant's explanation for the delay is at pb ct. He submitted a review petition to the
p 1-2 of (f) Registrar on 29-8-32, on which

Vb

on 9.9.32.
The Registrar passed orders, declining
to reconsider the orders already
passed. The appellant contends
that these orders were communicated
to him on 16.9.32 and that his
appeal is therefore in time.
~~with reference to~~ This contention
is not however correct as the
period of six months is ~~to be~~ counted
only from the date on which the
appellant was informed of the original
order appealed against and not ~~the~~
~~only~~ the date of the subsequent order
on his review petition. The period
of delay is however, about a week, 24 | 33 to 31-1-33
and it is for orders whether it will be
condoned and the appeal admitted
or this connection reference is solicited p 5 N.F in the
to Hon'ble's minute in a similar case Limited file
in which there was a ~~delay~~ footnote's delay in forwarding
the appeal

2) Subject to orders, a draft ~~no~~ 14/3.
letter to the Mad Services Commission
is sent for approval

~~Read~~
~~XB 33~~ 15.2.33

RR
10.3.33 S.

Sm
11/3

Issued as letter No. 852 II | 33-1 dt 14.3.33 [illegible]

17

To Asst. Secy.

The Commission's opinion on the Appeal has not yet been received. It may be reminded A draft letter is sent for approval

28-3-33 Issue 29-4-33

I.L.I Issued as Letter No 862 III/33-2 dt. 1-5-33
I.L.I Cno 1672 III - From the Secy, MSC, No 280/A/3 dt: 29-4-33

To Asst Secy

Please see the Appeal petition at pp 1-2 Cf. and the Regr's remarks thereon at pp 7-9 Cf. The Regr's order appealed against is at pp 15-17, the charges framed against the appellant are at pp 5-6 and his explanation and oral statement at pp 7-9 and 11-12 respectively of the Regr's file Dis-A no. 2147-32

2) The Mad. Services Commission considers that the charges were all proved and that the order dispensing with the services of the appellant was justified and accordingly recommends that the appeal be dismissed It is for orders whether the recommendation will be accepted

3) Subject to orders

18 ~~A~~

a draft order is submd. for approval.

11.5.33

13.5.33

13/5

16.5.33.

issued

17/5

II-5(e)

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

9/9/25.

19 25

Dev. DEPARTMENT.

Co. operative Societies

Appeal - Govinda Rao - M - Ex-junior inspector

Rejected

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 19

" " " " ..... " 19

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 862/III From the M. Govinda Rao, No. ....., dated 30.1.1933

from the Regr. of Cs. No. C. 864/33 d. 1-3-1933.

" " letter Do " " Secy. Mad. Services " 862/III/33-1 " 14-3-1933

1672/III from the Commissioner —do— No. 280A/33 d: 29-4-1933.

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 19

ORDER.

No. 597, dated 19/5/33 19

(Ms)

Govt. Qo 800

Reason to interfere

KS
13-5-33

11.5.33 13.5.33

Sm
13/5

Do M. Govinda Rao

Ex junior inspr. of Cs.

C/o. M. Venkoba Rao,

Head Constable No. 653, Bonnerpet Town

Police Station Bellary

(with copy of letter from the Secy, M&C at pp 13-14 ante.)

Do the Regr. of Cs. (with records vide ante)

(with copy of letter from the Secy, M&C, at pp 13-14 ante.

Secy, Mad. Services

Comm?